## Introduction to the Comprehensive Stool Analysis

Rachel Punke, D.O., IFMCP

## Objectives

- Gain a general understanding of the purpose, testing methods, interpretation and limitations of the comprehensive stool analysis.
- Gain a general understanding of the purpose, testing methods, interpretation and limitations of the fatty acid analysis.
- Identify appropriate uses of the comprehensive stool analysis and the fatty acid analysis in the primary care/outpatient setting.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

None

## Scope of Chronic Gastrointestinal Symptoms

### Primary Care Visits

35.4M

In 2019, diseases of the digestive system accounted for approximately 35.4M primary care office visits in the United States.



#### Prevalence

Irritable bowel syndrome affects approximately 10-15% of adults in the U.S., however only 5-7% have been formally diagnosed



## Significant Cost

In 2015, healthcare expenditures for GI diseases totaled approx \$135.9 billion surpassing costs associated with other common diseases

# Why consider stool testing?

Exploring gut health is like opening a door to understanding the health of the entire system. By utilizing stool testing, we can help our patients understand their body in much greater detail and give them the opportunity to guide healthy changes in their life. Stool testing can provide our patients with additional answers that traditional workups cannot. It can help us build a stronger relationship with our patients and encourage shared decision-making.



## Ideal Candidates for Comprehensive Stool Testing

### Chronic GI Symptoms

Diarrhea Constipation Gas, bloating Reflux

## **GI-Specific Conditions**

Irritable bowel syndrome Inflammatory bowel disease GERD

## Systemic Symptoms

Chronic fatigue Brain fog Anxiety, depressive symptoms Acne, eczema, rosacea

### Metabolic Disorders

Insulin resistance Metabolic syndrome NAFLD

### Lifestyle Factors

Frequent antibiotics Regular alcohol use NSAID use, PPI use Stress, poor sleep/diet

### Associated Conditions

Autism spectrum disorder Parkinson's Disease Fibromyalgia Autoimmune conditions

## What do Comprehensive Stool Studies Assess?

## L Microbiome

## **2** Digestion & Absorption

## **3** Inflammation

## **Functions of the Intestinal Microbiome**





#### Protection

Production of antimicrobial factors Pathogen displacement Immune system development

#### Structural

**Barrier** fortification Short chain fatty acid generation



#### Metabolic

Vitamin synthesis Metabolism of hormones and toxins Production of neurotrasmitters



#### Disruptors

Stress Medications Toxins Chronic disease Early life factors What can stool testing tell us about the intestinal microbiome

What

Dysbiosis

İS





### Dysbiosis

Disruption in the natural interaction of microorganisms

Lack of microbial diversity and/or balance



## **Digestion and Absorption**

Markers of how efficiently food is able to be broken down and absorbed

#### Pancreatic Elastase





Low elastase suggests exocrine pancreatic insufficiency

### Short Chain Fatty Acids Butyrate



Low levels indicate inadequate fiber intake, dysbiosis and/or chronic inflammation





#### Fecal Fat





Elevated fecal fat indicates malabsorption issues such as SIBO or gallbladder dysfunction

## **Digestion and Absorption**

#### **Products of Protein Breakdown**

### Putrefactive Short Chain Fatty Acids (PSCFA)

Putrefactive short chain fatty acids result from anaerobic fermentation of improperly broken down polypeptides and amino acids by gut flora.

#### **PSCFAs Tested**

- Valerate 1.
- 2. Isovalerate
- Isobutyrate 3.







### **Upstream Etiologies**

- Hypochlorhydria
- Pancreatic exocrine dysfunction
- Impaired absorption, such as with

inflammation



## **Markers of Inflammation**







#### Fecal Calprotectin

#### Secretory IgA

Lactoferrin Eosinophil Protein X Lysozyme

## Beta-glucuronidase

## Why is this Important to Test?



Toxins, including breakdown products of hormones, are conjugated with glucuronic acid in the liver for excretion in the stool



Conjugated substance travels to the bowel and is de-conjugated by betaglucuronidase produced by dysbiotic bacteria De-conjugated toxic substrate is then reabsorbed into circulation and can exert detrimental effects on health

## Limitations

## Strategies

#### Choose one area to start > Patient selection > Context

#### Information overload > Cumbersome > Accuracy





Methodology: GC-FID, Automated Chemistry, EIA	Result	1st	QUINT 2nd	ILE DISTRIE 3rd	UTION 4th
	Digest	tion and A	Absorp	tion	l
Pancreatic Elastase 1 †	>500	10	0 2	00	
Products of Protein Breakdown (Total*) (Valerate, Isobutyrate, Isovalerate)	2.2	<b>⊢ ♦</b> +			
Fecal Fat (Total*)	20.1				•
Triglycerides	1.0				•
Long-Chain Fatty Acids	14.3	+			<b>⊢</b> →
Cholesterol	1.1	•			
Phospholipids	3.7	+			

		QUINTILE DISTRIBUTION	
Methodology: GC-FID, Automated Chemistry, EIA	Result	ist 2nd 3rd 4th 5th	Reference Range
	Diges	tion and Absorption	
		100 200	
Pancreatic Elastase 1 †	>500	•	>200 mcg/g
Products of Protein Breakdown (Total*) (Valerate, Isobutyrate, Isovalerate)	2.2		1.8-9.9 micromol/g
Fecal Fat (Total*)	20.1		3.2-38.6 mg/g
Triglycerides	1.0		0.3-2.8 mg/g
Long-Chain Fatty Acids	14.3		1.2-29.1 mg/g
Cholesterol	1.1	<b>├</b>	0.4-4.8 mg/g
Phospholipids	3.7		0.2-6.9 mg/g
	Inflamm	ation and Immunology	
Calprotectin †	<17	50 120 ◆	<=50 mcg/g
Eosinophil Protein X (EPX)†	<dl< td=""><td>0.5 2.7 ♦</td><td>&lt;=2.7 mcg/g</td></dl<>	0.5 2.7 ♦	<=2.7 mcg/g
Fecal secretory IgA	1,398	680 2040	<=2,040 mcg/mL
	Gut Mic	crobiome Metabolites	
Metabolic			
Short-Chain Fatty Acids (SCFA) (Total*) (Acetate, n-Butyrate, Propionate)	22.3 L		>=23.3 micromol/g
n-Butyrate Concentration	3.9		>=3.6 micromol/g
n-Butyrate %	17.5		11.8-33.3 %
Acetate %	61.6		48.1-69.2 %
Propionate %	20.8		<=29.3 %
Beta-glucuronidase	186 L	← + + + + − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	368-6,266 U/g

Metabolic		
Short-Chain Fatty Acids (SCFA) (Total*) (Acetate, n-Butyrate, Propionate)	22.3 L	↓ ↓ ↓
n-Butyrate Concentration	3.9	┝━━�━─┼──┼──┼
n-Butyrate %	17.5	
Acetate %	61.6	┝───┼──┼─┝
Propionate %	20.8	
Beta-glucuronidase	186 L	+ + +

Methodology: DNA by qPCR							
	Gastrointes	stinal Micr	obiom	e (PCR)	)		
Commensal Bacteria (PCR)	Result CFU/g stool	1st	QUINT 2nd	ILE DISTRIE 3rd	UTION 4th	5th	Reference Range
Bacteroidetes Phylum							
Bacteroides uniformis	9.7 <b>E7</b>	++		•	I	+	<=9.5 <b>E8</b>
Phocaeicola vulgatus	3.2 <b>E8</b>	<b>⊢</b> →		I	+ •		<=8.3 <b>E8</b>
Barnesiella spp.	3.5 <b>E7</b>	<b>⊢</b> →	•		+	+	3.0 <b>E6</b> -2.9 <b>E8</b>
Odoribacter spp.	1.3 <b>E7</b>	<b></b> +		+	+	+	<=9.5 <b>E7</b>
Prevotella spp.	4.4 <b>E8</b>	<b>⊢</b> +		+ •		+	6.6E7-3.8E9
Firmicutes Phylum							
Anaerotruncus colihominis/massiliensis	9.4 <b>E6</b>	++			+	+ + -	<=2.0 <b>E7</b>
Butyrivibrio crossotus	<dl< td=""><td><b>⊢−−−</b>+</td><td></td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>+</td><td>&lt;=3.3<b>E7</b></td></dl<>	<b>⊢−−−</b> +		I	I	+	<=3.3 <b>E7</b>
Clostridium spp.	2.1 <b>E6</b>	$\longmapsto$		I	+	•	<=1.5 <b>E7</b>
Coprococcus eutactus	<dl< td=""><td><b>⊢</b> →</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>-</td><td>&lt;=1.2<b>E8</b></td></dl<>	<b>⊢</b> →			•	-	<=1.2 <b>E8</b>
Faecalibacterium prausnitzii	3.4 <b>E8</b>	+ +			I	•	1.1E6-1.1E9
Lactobacillus spp.	3.4 <b>E4</b>	++			+	+	<=1.6 <b>E6</b>
Pseudoflavonifractor spp.	5.1 <b>E6</b>					•	1.3 <b>E4</b> -2.9 <b>E7</b>
Roseburia spp.	1.8 <b>E8</b>	<b></b> +		l	I	· • ·	3.6 <b>E5-4</b> .6 <b>E8</b>
Ruminococcus bromii	7.3 <b>E8</b>	<b>⊢−−−</b> +			I	-	<=1.5 <b>E9</b>
Veillonella spp.	3.3 <b>E4</b>		•				<=4.1 <b>E6</b>
Actinobacteria Phylum							
Bifidobacterium spp.	1.2 <b>E8</b>	H				+ + -	4.6 <b>E5</b> -2.6 <b>E8</b>
Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum	3.4 <b>E7</b>	<b>├</b> ───+			I	+ +	<=1.3 <b>E8</b>
Collinsella aerofaciens	4.2 <b>E7</b>	++			+ •	-	<=1.3 <b>E8</b>
Proteobacteria Phylum							
Desulfovibrio piger	<dl< td=""><td><b>⊢−−−</b>+</td><td></td><td></td><td>l</td><td>•</td><td>&lt;=5.4<b>E7</b></td></dl<>	<b>⊢−−−</b> +			l	•	<=5.4 <b>E7</b>
Escherichia coli	6.7 <b>E5</b>	<b>⊢−−−</b> +			I	•	<=7.5 <b>E6</b>
Oxalobacter formigenes	4.0 <b>E6</b>	++			)	+ +	<=1.1E7
Euryarchaeota Phylum							
Methanobrevibacter smithii	<dl< td=""><td>++</td><td></td><td></td><td>+ +</td><td></td><td>&lt;=2.0<b>E7</b></td></dl<>	++			+ +		<=2.0 <b>E7</b>
Fusobacteria Phylum				1			-1 9EE
rusopacterium spp.	<b>VUL</b>	+			1	•	S-1.0ED
Akkermansia muciniphila	<dl l<="" td=""><td>++</td><td>•</td><td> </td><td>I</td><td></td><td>&gt;=8.5<b>E3</b></td></dl>	++	•		I		>=8.5 <b>E3</b>

The gray-shaded portion of a quintile reporting bar represents the proportion of the reference population with results below detection limit.

Commensal results and reference range values are displayed in a computer version of scientific notation, where the capital letter "E" indicates the exponent value (e.g., 7.3E6 equates to 7.3 x 106 or 7,300,000).

The methodology for the PCR Commensal Bacteria has been updated to qPCR. The reference ranges have been updated accordingly.

	$\mathbf{\overline{v}}$	

Methodology: Culture/MALDI-TOF MS, Automated and Manual Biochemical Methods, Vitek® 2 System Microbial identification and Antibiotic susceptibility

#### Gastrointestinal Microbiome (Culture)

Additional Bacteria

etiological agents of disease.

Human microflora is influenced by environmental factors and the competitive ecosystem of the organisms in the GI tract. Pathogenic significance should be based upon clinical symptoms.

#### Microbiology Legend NG NP PP P

Potential Pathogen	Pathogen
	Potential Pathogen

#### **Bacteriology (Culture)**

Lactobacillus spp.
Escherichia coli
Bifidobacterium (Anaerobic Culture)



Non-Pathogen: Organisms that fall under this category are those that

Potential Pathogen: Organisms that fall under this category are considered

constitute normal, commensal flora, or have not been recognized as

potential or opportunistic pathogens when present in heavy growth.

Pathogen: The organisms that fall under this category have a well-

recognized mechanism of pathogenicity in clinical literature and are





Yeast, not Candida albicans

Additional Bacteria

Enterococcus casseliflavus

Salmonella spp.

Bacillus species

Shigella spp.



1+ NP

#### Microscopic O&P Results

Microscopic O&P is capable of detecting all described gastrointestinal parasites. The organisms listed in the box represent those commonly found in microscopic stool analysis. Should an organism be detected that is not included in the list below, it will be reported in the Additional Results section. These results were obtained using wet preparation(s) and trichrome stained smear. For an extensive reference of all potentially detectable organisms, please visit www.gdx.net/product/gi-effects-comprehensive-stool-test

#### **Genus/species**

#### Nematodes - roundworms

Ancylostoma/Necator (Hookworm) Ascaris lumbricoides Capillaria philippinensis Enterobius vermicularis Strongyloides stercoralis Trichuris trichiura

#### Cestodes - tapeworms

Diphyllobothrium latum Dipylidium caninum Hymenolepis diminuta Hymenolepis nana Taenia spp.

#### Trematodes - flukes

Clonorchis/Opisthorchis spp. Fasciola spp./ Fasciolopsis buski Heterophyes/Metagonimus Paragonimus spp. Schistosoma spp.

#### Protozoa

Balantidium coli Blastocystis spp. Chilomastix mesnili Cryptosporidium spp. Cyclospora cayetanensis Dientamoeba fragilis Entamoeba coli Entamoeba histolytica/dispar Entamoeba hartmanii Entamoeba polecki Endolimax nana Giardia lodamoeba buetschlii Cystoisospora spp. Trichomonads (e.g. Pentatrichomonas) Additional Findings White Blood Cells Charcot-Leyden Crystals Other Infectious Findings

Giardia	<1.36e1	genome copies/microliter C&S stool	Not Detected Not Detected
		Additional Results	
Methodology: Fecal Immunochemical	Testing (FIT)		
	Result	Expected Value	
Fecal Occult Blood+	Negative	Negative	
Color <sup>++</sup>	Brown		

#### Parasitology

	Result	
	Not Detected	
	Not Detected	
	Not Detected	
	Not Detected	
)	Not Detected	
	Not Detected	
	Not Detected	



Total Commensal Abundance: The total commensal abundance is a sum-total of the reported commensal bacteria compared to a healthy cohort. Low levels of commensal bacteria are often observed after antimicrobial therapy, or in diets lacking fiber and/or prebiotic-rich foods and may indicate the need for microbiome support. Conversely, higher total commensal abundance may indicate potential bacteria overgrowth or probiotic supplementation.

#### **Dysbiosis Patterns**





Dysbiosis Patterns: data analysis has led to the development of unique dysbiosis patterns, related to key physiologic disruptions, such as immunosuppression and inflammation. These patterns may represent dysbiotic changes that could pose clinical significance. Please see published literature for more details: https://rdcu.be/bRhzv

Zone 1: The commensal profile in this zone does not align with profiles associated with intestinal inflammation or immunosuppression. If inflammatory biomarkers are present, other causes need to be excluded, such as infection, food allergy, or more serious pathology.

Zone 2: This pattern of bacteria is associated with impaired intestinal barrier function (low fecal slgA and EPX). Patients in this zone have higher rates of opportunistic infections (e.g. Blastocystis spp. & Dientamoeba fragilis) as well as fecal fat malabsorption. Commensal abundance is higher in this group suggesting potential bacterial overgrowth.

Zone 3: Patients in this zone may have more inflammation compared to those in zone 4. However, commensal abundance is usually higher making use of antimicrobial therapy relatively safer. Patients in this zone may have higher rates of pathogenic infections.

Zone 4: This commensal profile is associated with increased intestinal inflammation. IBD patients are more likely to have this pattern of bacteria. Commensal abundance is lower in this zone; therefore, antibiotic use for GI potential pathogens should be used with caution. In addition to standard treatment for intestinal inflammation, modulation of the commensal gut profile is encouraged.

#### **Commensal Balance**



#### **Relative Commensal Abundance**

	-50%	-25% Healthy	+25 Cohort	5%
Bacteroidetes Phylum				Increase in Bacteroides spp. and Odoribacter spp. seen in animal-based
Bacteroidetes Pflyidill				diets; Prevotella increased with plant-based diet
Eirmieutee Bhylum				Contains many butyrate-producers; most species responsive to
				plant-based diets; Faecalibacterium spp. is anti-inflammatory
Actinghastoria Dhulum				Bifidobacterium is increased with plant-based diets; Collinsella
Actinobacteria Phylum				may be proinflammatory, and is elevated with a Western-diet
Protophastoria Phylum				Some species may be proinflammatory; E. coli consumes simple
				sugars and is lower in individuals on plant-based diets
	ND			Methanobrevibacter smithii is associated with methane
	NR			production and with diets high in carbohydrates
Eucobactoria Phylum	ND			Certain Fusobacterium spp. may be proinflammatory and
	INIX			increased on low fiber, high fat diets
Vorrucomicrobio Bhylum	ND			Akkermansia spp. is involved in gut membrane integrity and
	INK			may be increased with polyphenols and prebiotics

**Relative Abundance:** The relative abundance compares the quantity of each of 7 major bacterial phyla to a healthy cohort. This can indicate broader variances in the patient's gut microbiome profile. Certain interventions may promote or limit individual phyla when clinically appropriate. Please refer to Concurs Stool Testing Support Guide for more information on modulation of commensal bacteria through diet & nutrient interventions. \*\*\*Approximately 70% of the healthy cohort had below detectable levels of Methanobrevibacter smithii. Approximately 90% of the healthy cohort had below detectable levels of Fusobacterium spp.

#### **Physician Notes/Recommendations**

Balanced	Represents 95% of healthy individuals
Borderline	Represents 5% of healthy individuals
Imbalanced	Represents 60% of unhealthy individuals

\*A progressive ranking scale based on a proprietary algorithm that differentiates healthy and unhealthy commensal patterns.

\*\*The total number of commensal bacteria (qPCR) that are out of balance for this individual on a scale of 0 to >12.

## Resources

- 1. American College of Gastroenterology. *Common Gastrointestinal Symptoms*. https://gi.org/topics/common-gi-symptoms/#tabs2
- 2. Awolade P, Cele N, Kerru N, Gummidi L, Oluwakemi E, Singh P. Therapeutic significance of β-glucuronidase activity and its inhibitors: A review. Eur J Med Chem. 2020 Feb 1;187:111921. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111921. Epub 2019 Dec 4. PMID: 31835168; PMCID: PMC7111419.
- 3. Boham E, Hanaway P. (Oct 2022). Underlying Causes of IBS: Digestive Insufficiency. [Lecture]. Institute for Functional Medicine, Gastrointestinal Advanced Practice Module
- 4. Boham E, Hanaway P. (Oct 2022). Underlying Causes of IBS: Dysbiosis and Gut Pathogens. [Lecture]. Institute for Functional Medicine, Gastrointestinal Advanced Practice Module
- 5. Boham E, Hanaway P. (Oct 2022). Exploring Causes and Consequences of Intestinal Permeability. [Lecture]. Institute for Functional Medicine, Gastrointestinal Advanced Practice Module
- 6. Carías Domínguez AM, de Jesús Rosa Salazar D, Stefanolo JP, Cruz Serrano MC, Casas IC, Zuluaga Peña JR. Intestinal Dysbiosis: Exploring Definition, Associated Symptoms, and Perspectives for a Comprehensive Understanding - a Scoping Review. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 2025 Feb;17(1):440-449. doi: 10.1007/s12602-024-10353-w. Epub 2024 Sep 5. PMID: 39235661; PMCID: PMC11832579.
- 7. CDC/National Center for Health Statistics. (2023, Sept 14). Digestive Diseases. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/digestive-diseases.htm
- 8. Cleveland Clinic. (2024, April 16). Dysbiosis. https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/diseases/dysbiosis
- 9. Fatemeh K, Durdi Q, Mehrdad K, Soheila M, Mahmood M, Mostafa V. Calprotectin in inflammatory bowel disease, Clinica Chimica Acta, Volume 510, 2020, Pages 556-565, ISSN 0009-8981, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2020.08.025.
- 10. Hanaway P. (Oct 2022). Understanding the Microbiome. [Lecture]. Institute for Functional Medicine, Gastrointestinal Advanced Practice Module

- guide-to-the-top-4-microbiome-tests
- PMCID: PMC10416750.
- pancreatic-insufficiency/diagnosis

- PMID: 29374614; PMCID: PMC6402774.

1. Henry E. (2025, Jan 14). Stool Testing 101: A Complete Guide to the Top 3 Gut Health *Tests.* Rupa Health. *https://www.rupahealth.com/post/stool-testing-101-a-complete-*

2. Hu S, Ding Q, Zhang W, Kang M, Ma J, Zhao L. Gut microbial beta-glucuronidase: a vital regulator in female estrogen metabolism. Gut Microbes. 2023 Jan-Dec;15(1):2236749. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2023.2236749. PMID: 37559394;

3. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. (2023, Jan). Diagnosis for Exocrine Pancreatic Insufficiency.

https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/digestive-diseases/exocrine-

4. Pathirana WGW, Chubb SP, Gillett MJ, Vasikaran SD. Faecal Calprotectin. Clin Biochem Rev. 2018 Aug;39(3):77-90. PMID: 30828114; PMCID: PMC6370282.

5. Peery AF, Crockett SD, Murphy CC, Lund JL, Dellon ES, Williams JL, Jensen ET, Shaheen NJ, Barritt AS, Lieber SR, Kochar B, Barnes EL, Fan YC, Pate V, Galanko J, Baron TH, Sandler RS. Burden and Cost of Gastrointestinal, Liver, and Pancreatic Diseases in the United States: Update 2018. Gastroenterology. 2019

Jan;156(1):254-272.e11. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.08.063. Epub 2018 Oct 10. Erratum in: Gastroenterology. 2019 May;156(6):1936. doi:

10.1053/j.gastro.2019.03.024. PMID: 30315778; PMCID: PMC6689327

6. Tucknott S. (2023, Jan 11). What is a faecal calprotectin test for IBD. IBD Relief. https://www.ibdrelief.com/learn/what-is-faecal-calprotectin-test-for-

ibd#:~:text=Causes%20of%20raised%20faecal%20calprotectin%20include:%20% 0A,can%20lead%20to%20inflammation%20in%20the%20gut

7. Vanga RR, Tansel A, Sidiq S, El-Serag HB, Othman MO. Diagnostic Performance of Measurement of Fecal Elastase-1 in Detection of Exocrine Pancreatic

Insufficiency: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018 Aug;16(8):1220-1228.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.01.027. Epub 2018 Jan 31.